



**CITY OF IONE
P.O. BOX 398
1 EAST MAIN STREET
IONE, CA 95640
(209) 274-2412
FAX (209) 274-2830**



ADDENDUM 1

DATE ISSUED: OCTOBER 12, 2009

**PROJECT: City of Ione Wastewater Treatment Facility
Design Build Operate Finance
Request for Qualifications**

SUBMITTAL DATE: THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2009 AT 2:00 P.M.

This Addendum is issued by the City to all known parties who hold Request For Qualifications (RFQ) for above listed project.

This Addendum shall become as fully a part of the above named RFQ as if included and shall supersede and supplement all portions of the original RFQ dated August 20, 2009 with which it conflicts.

Each and every party shall be responsible for reading each and every item in this Addendum to ascertain the extent and manner it affects the work in which interested in and shall indicate an acknowledgement of receipt of this Addendum as stated in Section 4.1 of the RFQ.

Attached to this addendum is a list of parties that attended the **mandatory** pre-submittal meeting and site visit that was held on September 29, 2009.

The following changes are hereby made to the RFQ for the subject project:

- a. The SOQ submittal date has been extended to **Thursday, November 12, 2009 at 2:00 p.m.** Submittals will still be sent to:

Lee & Ro, Inc.
Attn: Bob Godwin
8880 Cal Center Drive, Suite 170
Sacramento, CA 95826

Submittals received after the specified date and time will not be accepted.

GENERAL CLARIFICATIONS

Below are the responses to general questions about the RFQ.

No. 01: Does the existing Castle Oaks Water Reclamation Plant (tertiary plant) have any available treatment capacity for this project/expansion?

The tertiary plant operates seasonally at a maximum capacity of 1.2 MGD. Water demand is dependant upon need at the Castle Oaks Golf Course. No excess capacity is available. Expansion of the facility would be required to increase treatment volume.

No. 02: Could the tertiary plant be equipped with chlorine and UV to satisfy the Title 22 requirements?

Current disinfection at the tertiary plant meets Title 22 requirements and utilizes liquid sodium hypochlorite. The project description set forth in the Master Plan and the Draft EIR identify two options for expanding tertiary treatment capacity. One option would expand the existing tertiary plant. The other option would construct a combined secondary and tertiary plant at another location. In response to comments from the Regional Water Quality Control Board, it is anticipated that the new tertiary facilities will utilize UV disinfection.

No. 03: The RFQ states an activated sludge system is required, can alternative methods of treatment methods be proposed?

Yes, alternative treatment methods are acceptable provided they meet the project goal of delivering water meeting Title 22 unrestricted requirements and the requirements of the future permit issued by the Regional Board, including the Regional Board's requirement for Best Practical Treatment and Control (BPTC). The City is willing to consider options that vary from the project description in the EIR, but requests that any such variations be clearly identified and, to the extent possible, environmental impacts from proposed variations that differ from the analysis in the EIR should be noted.

No. 04: Is it necessary to abandon use of all the ponds in the future?

Operation of the existing treatment ponds has been problematic and a concern with the Regional Board. The City plans to eliminate the use of treatment ponds upon completion of the Phase I project. The project EIR, Phase 1 envisions use of only percolation ponds.

No. 05: Are firms that helped in the preparation of the EIR, RFQ or currently under contract with the City precluded in participation of this SOQ and proposal?

With the exception of LEE & RO, firms and individuals that participated in preparation of the EIR, Master Plan, or RFQ are not precluded from participating in the SOQ or

proposal. However, those firms and individuals would not be eligible to participate in construction inspection, management, and quality assurance activities as an agent of the City during project construction and start-up.

No. 06: Are only the people/firms in attendance at the mandatory pre-RFQ meeting eligible to participate during the proposal process?

Yes, only firms/business ventures who participated via a representative from the lead contractor or lead designer are eligible to participate in the RFQ/proposal process. Sub consultants and contractors are not required to be in attendance.

No. 07: Is the City sewer enterprise fund budget information available for review?

Yes, this information is available upon request from the City Manager, Kim Kerr.

No. 08: How is the City's current management plant operations contract arranged with PERC?

That contracted started in July 2009 and is a 3-year contract with a clause allowing three, one-year contract extensions.

No. 09: Are there any issues with routing the pipe to the tertiary plant on the 5 Mile Rd. bridge?

The bridge has two open sections underneath the roadway, but is already congested with an AWA water line and ARSA secondary effluent line. These pipelines will have to be shifted to provide more room for the new pipeline or the new pipeline will have to be mounted to the side of the bridge. At a minimum coordination and permitting with Amador County will be required for this work.

No. 10: Can the wording in the RFQ be amended to read "primary, secondary and tertiary treatment" rather than "activated sludge biological treatment"?

The City does not wish to restrict the creativeness of the project teams to provide the most economical solution to the City. However, the project must meet the goal of delivering water meeting Title 22 unrestricted requirements and the requirements of the future permit issued by the Regional Board, including the Regional Board's requirement for Best Practical Treatment and Control (BPTC). The City is willing to consider options that vary from the project description in the EIR, but requests that any such variations be clearly identified and, to the extent possible, environmental impacts from proposed variations that differ from the analysis in the EIR should be noted. The City has an expectation that treatment ponds will not be used in the future once the project is constructed.

MANDATORY PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING ATTENDANCE

| Name | Address | Company | Phone | Email |
|-------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Bill Slenter | 10569 Old Placerville Road, Sacramento, CA 95827 | HydroScience | (916) 364-1490 | bslenter@hydroscience.com |
| James Jetton | 10569 Old Placerville Road, Sacramento, CA 95827 | HydroScience | (916) 364-1490 | jjetton@hydroscience.com |
| Andy Granner | 730 W Stadium Ln., Sacramento, CA 95834 | Auburn Constructors | (916) 924-0344 | agranner@auburnconstructors.com |
| Dave Calandro | 740 N Andreasen Dr., Escondido, CA 92029 | Filanc | (760) 941-7130 | dcalandro@filanc.com |
| Myke Praul | 268 Arlington Ave, Suite F, Berkeley, CA 94707 | Go2Water | (510) 526-2050 | mpraul@go2water.net |
| Terry Miles | 3500 American River Dr, Sacramento, CA 95864 | Teichert | (209) 815-5775 | tmiles@teichert.com |
| Bob Nespeca | 959 South Coast Drive #315, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 | Perc Water | (714) 514-8879 | bnespeca@percwater.com |
| Casey Wichert | 959 South Coast Drive #315, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 | Perc Water | (714) 514-8879 | cwichert@percwater.com |
| Craig Bergstrom | 4686 E Van Buren, Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85008 | Layton | (602) 840-8655 | cbergstrom@laytoncompanies.com |
| Andy Kositsky | 21663 Brian Lane, Sonora, CA 95370 | Condor | (209) 728-4573 | akositsky@condorearth.com |
| Marc Crum | 21663 Brian Lane, Sonora, CA 95370 | Condor | (209) 532-0361 | mcrum@condorearth.com |
| Maurice Gutierrez | 544 Palamino Ct. El Dorado Hills, CA 95762 | EMC | (916) 933-3708 | maurice.gutierrez@emstl.com |